IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

HENRY WATKINS,	_: :		
Plaintiff,	:		
v. PENNSYLVANIA BOARD OF PROBATION & PAROLE, EDWARD JONES, and MICHAEL BUKATA	:	NO.	02-CV-2881
Defendants.	: : :		

JURY VERDICT FORM FOR WILLIE E. JONES IN HIS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY

	A. Disparate Treatment					
1.	preponderance of the	e evidence that dual capacity, s	has proved by a Defendant Willie E. ubjected plaintiff to on?			
	YES		NO			
	=	ed "NO" to Ques	continue to Question tion 1, continue to on 2.			

2. Do you find that the plaintiff has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that race was determinative in motivating Defendant's employment action?

YES	NO

Continue to Question 3.

B. Retaliation

3.	preponderance of the discriminatory practi	the plaintiff has proved by a evidence that he opposed a racially ce or engaged in protected conduct aring in November of 2000?
	YES	NO
	Continue to Question	4.
4.	preponderance of the Jones, in his individu	the plaintiff has proved by a evidence that defendant Willie E. al capacity, subjected plaintiff to rmination as a result of the uit?
	YES	NO
		to Question 3, continue to Question "NO" to Question 3, continue to
5.	preponderance of the connection between t	the plaintiff has proved by a evidence that there is a causal the plaintiff's protected conduct nearing in November 2000 and his 2001?
	YES	NO

Continue to Question 6.

_	_			
C.	Con	SDI	ra	CV
•				_,

6.	Do	you	find	that	. Willie	E.	Jones,	in	his	individual
	cap	acit	zy, en	tere	d into	a o	conspira	су	to d	discriminate
	and	l/or	retali	Late	against	Mr.	Watkins	?		

YES _____ NO ____

D. Damages

Please answer the following questions only if you found in favor of plaintiff on his disparate treatment claim, retaliation claim, and/or his conspiracy claim. In other words, you should only answer these questions if you answered "YES" to Questions 1 and 2 and/or Questions 4 and/or 3 and 5 and/or Question 6.

7. Do you find that the plaintiff has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that plaintiff should be awarded damages to compensate for emotional pain and mental anguish?

YES _____ NO ____

If your answer is "YES," insert the amount of compensatory damages that will reasonably compensate plaintiff for his emotional pain and mental anguish in the space provided below.

\$_____

δ.	preponderance of the e- awarded damages to compo benefits to the date of	vidence that plaint ensate for a net los	iff should be
	YES	NO	
	If your answer is compensatory damages aplaintiff for a net losspace provided below.	that will reasonab.	ly compensate
	\$		
	If your answers to Quest a \$1.00 award of nomina		e "NO," insert
	\$		
9.	Do you find that the preponderance of the every of future expenses.	idence that plaintin	ff is entitled
	YES	NO	. <u></u>
	If your answer is "YE. earnings that plainting provided below.	S," insert the amou Ef is entitled to	int of future in the space
	\$		

Ε.	Punitive	Damages
----	----------	---------

10.	Do you find preponderance Jones, in his i malice or reckl protected right	of the evidence ndividual capac ess indifferen	e that defenda city, personal	ant Willie E. ly acted with
	YES		NO	
		Foreperson:	·	
		Dated:		

 $\verb|F:\PBL\pa.bd.of prob.watkins\Pleadings\trial\LRM.jury verdict form.WillieE.Jones.wpd|\\$